AJN

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.877 OF 2007

Rajeshree Waman Kokate ... Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Respondents

Mr. S.M. Suryanwanshi for the petitioner.

Mr. R.S. Khandeparkar for the Intervenor.

Mr. A.R. Patil, A.P.P. for the State.

CORAM : SMT. RANJANA DESAI &
SMT. ROSHAN DALVI, JJ.

DATED : 16TH AUGUST, 2007.

P.C.:-

1. On 4/5/2007, by a detailed order, the Division Bench of this court to which one of us (Smt. Ranjana Desai, J.) was a party, directed the Commissioner of Police, Bombay to treat the petitioner's representation addressed to the Home Minister as the representation addressed to him and consider the grievance of the petitioner and pass appropriate orders thereon. The court had directed the learned A.P.P. to ensure that the report of the Commissioner of Police is submitted to this court. Accordingly, the Commissioner of Police has examined the grievance of

the petitioner. A detailed report dated 30/6/2007 is submitted to this court. We have perused the report. We are satisfied with the manner in which the Commissioner of Police has handled the matter. Having perused the report, we are of the opinion that there is no substance in the petition.

2. On the last occasion, since the petitioner had suggested that because of the unfortunate events that have taken place, her marriage prospects were affected, we had requested the learned counsel for the parties to meet and find out how the petitioner can be helped.

3. The learned counsel for the intervenor tells us that Mr. Vishnu Kushe, the President of Akhil Vashya Dynati Mandal, Mumbai, having address at 28, Indira Nagar, Shiv Shakti CHS Limited, J.P. Road, Andheri (East), Mumbai – 400 053 (Tel. 2637 0802) has volunteered to help the petitioner if the petitioner enrolls her name in the marriage bureau of the said Mandal. In case, the petitioner so desires, she may get in touch with Mr. Vishnu Kushe, who is willing to help her in her marriage prospects.

4. We must note that in order to explain this to the petitioner, we interviewed the petitioner, her mother and her sister in our chamber.

We had conveyed the offer made by Mr. Kushe to her. It is for the petitioner to accept this offer or not. We must note that the petitioner, her mother and sister are adamant. They are not amenable to reason. We also note that we have allowed the learned counsel for the parties particularly the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner to go through the report of the Commissioner of Police, Bombay.

5. We are informed that the Cyber Cell of Bombay Police had registered a case against the intervenor Mr. Sudhir Saple and one Mr. Paresh Sanghal. The intervenor Mr. Saple has been discharged from the case by the Sessions Court. However, the case against Mr. Sanghal is pending. We expect the police to diligently prosecute the said case. No further order is necessary. The petition is disposed of in the aforestated terms.

6. The office is directed to give copies of the Commissioner's report to the counsel appearing for the parties.

[SMT. RANJANA DESAI, J.]

[SMT. ROSHAN DALVI, J.]